

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,797	02/06/2002	Timothy V. Travaille	19502-06553	2867
44367	7590 05/04/2006		EXAMINER	
	AN, LUNDBERG, WO	BOYCE, ANDRE D		
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0938			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Will Will Or	o, 1.11. 00 100 0700		3623	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/071,797	TRAVAILLE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andre Boyce	3623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Fe</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/15/02</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-41 have been examined.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 36 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form. The claim would fail the infringement test as seen in MPEP 608.01(n)(III), since someone could infringe on claim 36 without infringing on the base claim 34, contained within the claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim, or amend the claim to place the claim in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim in independent form.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-13, 16-18, and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McClure et al (USPN 6,250,548).

As per claim 1, McClure et al disclose a computer-implemented method of collecting votes from at least some of a group of voters (i.e., electronic voting system

Art Unit: 3623

40, figure 1), comprising: broadcasting an interactive voting application to a plurality of remote broadcast receivers (i.e., internet voting software transmitted to PCs via public, private or semi-private servers, column 35, lines 27-30 and a plurality of voting tablets located at voting stations, column 17, lines 5-9); receiving from at least some of the broadcast receivers authentication information associated with one or more voters (i.e., voter PIN, column 36, lines 28-31); authenticating the voters by comparing the received authentication information with stored authentication information associated with the voter (i.e., password given at time of registration, column 36, lines 35-39); and receiving electronic ballots from the broadcast receivers, each electronic ballot comprising a set of votes inputted into the broadcast receiver by a voter using the interactive voting application (i.e., voter is able to cast one ballot, column 36, lines 66-67).

As per claim 2, McClure et al disclose delivering ballot data to an election board (i.e., election officials can download the ballot image, column 37, lines 51-54), the ballot data derived from the electronic ballots and whether the voters have voted, wherein the identities of the voters are not associated with the voters' electronic ballots (i.e., once the voter activates the cast ballot button, the software randomly saves the ballot image in a secure database, column 37, lines 32-36).

As per claim 3, McClure et al disclose delivering ballot data comprises electronically transmitting the ballot data to a server controlled by the election board (i.e., semi-private server set up for multiple jurisdictions, column 35, lines 40-42).

As per claim 4, McClure et al disclose the ballot data includes a plurality of votes, each vote associated with a sequential identification number (i.e., assignment of an issue number, column 36, lines 59-61).

As per claim 5, McClure et al disclose the stored authentication information was received from an election board (i.e., password given at time of registration, column 36, lines 37-39).

As per claims 6 and 8, McClure et al disclose the authentication information for each voter includes: a unique personal identification number assigned to the voter by the election board (i.e., voter PIN); and a secret information item specified by the voter (i.e., password and biometric identification, column 36, lines 37-39).

As per claim 7, McClure et al disclose recording the electronic ballots and that the voters have voted, wherein the identities of the voters are not associated with the electronic ballots (i.e., once the voter activates the cast ballot button, the software randomly saves the ballot image in a secure database, column 37, lines 32-36).

As per claim 9, McClure et al disclose determining whether the broadcast receiver from which the voter's authentication information was received is among a set of broadcast receivers pre-approved for use by the voter (i.e., officials verify the information and approve assignment of an issue number, column 36, lines 59-63).

As per claim 10, McClure et al disclose the set of broadcast receivers pre approved for use by a voter includes a broadcast receiver in a polling place (i.e., voting tablet 56, column 17, lines 5-9).

As per claim 11, McClure et al disclose the set of broadcast receivers preapproved for use by a voter includes broadcast receivers located in same geographical area as the voter's residence (i.e., PC may be located in voter's residence).

As per claim 12, McClure et al disclose for each voter, determining a set of preapproved broadcast receivers (i.e., PC that supports SSL protocol, column 36, lines 33-35).

As per claim 13, McClure et al disclose determining a set of pre-approved broadcast receivers for each voter comprises: determining a geographic location code for the voter according to the voter's residence (i.e., voter jurisdiction, column 35, lines 34-37); and including in the set of pre-approved broadcast receivers broadcast receivers at polling places assigned to the voter's geographic location code (i.e., plurality of voting tablets at voting stations in polling place, column 17, lines 5-9).

As per claim 16, McClure et al disclose disassociating the identity of each voter from the voter's electronic ballot, so that the voter's votes are not associated with the voter's identity (i.e., information related to communication between software host and voter are saved randomly as a file and disassociated with the cast ballot, column 37, lines 45-48).

As per claim 17, McClure et al disclose delivering a first and second report to an election board (i.e., once voter has activated the cast ballot button, thus voting, the election official can download the ballot image, column 37, lines 51-54), the first

report describing whether a set of voters voted and the second report describing the voters' votes, wherein the voters described in the first report cannot be correlated with their votes described in the second report.

As per claim 18, McClure et al disclose at least one of the broadcast receivers is located in a polling place (i.e., voting tablets, column 17, lines 5-9).

As per claim 34, McClure et al disclose an interactive voting application for being executed on a broadcast receiver (i.e., electronic voting system 40, figure 1), the application comprising: an input interface for receiving information from a voter (i.e., voter's computer monitor, column 37, lines 20-22); an output interface for transmitting data to a remote server (i.e., voter activates the cast ballot button, column 37, lines 26-29); an authentication module adapted to collect authentication information from the voter using the input interface, and further adapted to transmit the authentication information to the remote server using the output interface (i.e., approval of an issue number based upon voter supplied information, column 36, lines 59-61); and a ballot module adapted to create an electronic ballot based on vote selections received from the voter (i.e., converts responses of the voter into equivalent switch positions for the voting tablet, column 37, lines 30-32), and further adapted to transmit the electronic ballot to the remote server (i.e., transmits the data package to internet software host, column 37, lines 26-29).

As per claim 35, McClure et al disclose wherein the authentication information includes: a unique personal identification number assigned to the voter by an election board (i.e., voter PIN, column 36, lines 27-30); and a secret information item

Art Unit: 3623

specified by the voter (i.e., password and biometric identification, column 36, lines 37-39).

As per claim 36, McClure et al disclose a broadcast signal transmissible over a broadcast network, the broadcast signal comprising the interactive voting application of claim 34 (i.e., transmission of the data packet, column 37, lines 26-29).

As per claim 37, McClure et al disclose wherein the interactive voting application is encoded within a vertical blanking interval of the broadcast signal (i.e., encryption, column 37, lines 26-27).

As per claim 38, McClure et al disclose the interactive voting application is digitally encoded within the broadcast signal (i.e., executable code stored, column 37, lines 26-29).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 14, 15, 19-33, and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure et al (USPN 6,250,548), in view of Lemmons (US 2003/0028873).

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 14, McClure et al does not disclose transmitting the interactive voting application via a cable head-end. Lemmons discloses a viewer able to cast a vote for elections (¶ 0047), wherein the data files may be stored in a central computer, comprising a server located at a head-end or in a set top box (¶ 0058). Both McClure et al and Lemmons are concerned methods of casting votes, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include head-end or a set top box in McClure et al, as seen in Lemmons, as an additional efficient mechanism to capture votes, thus making the system more flexible and robust.

As per claim 15, McClure et al does not disclose transmitting the interactive voting application via a satellite uplink. Lemmons discloses a viewer able to cast a vote for elections (¶ 0047), wherein the enhanced video signal is transmitted via a satellite link (¶ 0058). Both McClure et al and Lemmons are concerned methods of casting votes, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a satellite link in McClure et al, as seen in Lemmons, as an additional efficient mechanism to capture votes, thus making the system more flexible and robust.

As per claim 19, McClure et al disclose a computer-implemented method of collecting votes from a plurality of voters (i.e., electronic voting system 40, figure 1) comprising: storing the votes from the electronic ballots and data relating to which voters submitted electronic ballots, wherein the voters are not correlateable with their votes (i.e., once the voter activates the cast ballot button, the software

randomly saves the ballot image in a secure database, column 37, lines 32-36). McClure does not disclose transmitting in a broadcast television signal an interactive voting application to a plurality of set-top boxes, the set-top boxes adapted to receive the broadcast television signal, to extract the interactive voting application from the signal, and to execute the voting application and display the voting application on a television operatively coupled thereto; and receiving electronic ballots from the plurality of set-top boxes. Lemmons discloses a viewer able to cast a vote for elections (¶ 0047), wherein the data files may be stored in a central computer, comprising a server located at a head-end or in a set top box (¶ 0058). Both McClure et al and Lemmons are concerned methods of casting votes, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include transmitting in a broadcast television signal an interactive voting application to a plurality of set-top boxes in McClure et al, as seen in Lemmons, as an additional efficient mechanism to capture votes, thus making the system more flexible and robust.

As per claim 20, McClure et al disclose transmitting to an election board data relating to the votes and which voters submitted ballots (i.e., once voter has activated the cast ballot button, thus voting, the election official can download the ballot image, column 37, lines 51-54).

As per claim 21, McClure et al disclose a interactive method for voting (i.e., electronic voting system 40, figure 1), comprising: decoding an interactive voting application contained in the broadcast signal (i.e., software decrypts the

Page 10

transmission, column 37, lines 30-32); executing the interactive voting application to receive votes from a vote; creating an electronic ballot from the received votes; and transmitting the electronic ballot to a remote server (i.e., once voter has activated the cast ballot button, thus voting, the election official can download the ballot image, column 37, lines 51-54). McClure does not disclose tuning a broadcast receiver to a voting channel, the broadcast receiver receiving a broadcast signal on the voting channel. Lemmons discloses a viewer able to cast a vote for elections (¶ 0047), wherein the data files may be stored in a central computer, comprising a server located at a head-end or in a set top box (¶ 0058), wherein the set-top box receives and transmits the enhanced signal to a display device such as a TV screen (¶ 0060). Both McClure et al and Lemmons are concerned methods of casting votes, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include head-end or a set top box in McClure et al, as seen in Lemmons, as an additional efficient mechanism to capture votes, thus making the system more flexible and robust.

As per claim 22, McClure et al disclose submitting authentication information to the remote server (i.e., voter PIN, column 36, lines 27-30).

As per claim 23, McClure et al disclose the authentication information includes: a unique personal identification number (i.e., voter PIN, column 36, lines 27-30); and a secret information item (i.e., password and biometric identification, column 36, lines 37-39).

Application/Control Number: 10/071,797

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 24, McClure et al disclose the electronic ballot includes information for authenticating the ballot (i.e., voter PIN, column 36, lines 27-30).

As per claim 25, McClure et al disclose the electronic ballot is encrypted (i.e., column 37, lines 26-29).

As per claim 26, McClure et al does not disclose transmitting the electronic ballot over a two-way cable connection. Lemmons discloses a viewer able to cast a vote for elections (¶ 0047), wherein the data files may be stored in a central computer, comprising a server located at a head-end or in a set top box (¶ 0058). Both McClure et al and Lemmons are concerned methods of casting votes, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a two-way cable connection in McClure et al, as seen in Lemmons, as an additional efficient mechanism to capture votes, thus making the system more flexible and robust.

Claims 27-30 are rejected based upon the rejection of claims 19 and 20, since they are the system claims corresponding to the method claims.

Claims 31-33 are rejected based upon the rejection of claims 19-22, since they are the system claims corresponding to the method claims.

As per claim 39, McClure et al does not disclose a broadcast program.

Lemmons discloses a viewer able to cast a vote for elections (¶ 0047), wherein the data files may be stored in a central computer, comprising a server located at a head-end or in a set top box (¶ 0058), wherein the enhanced video signal is transmitted via cable connection and transmitted to a TV, (¶ 0060). Both McClure et

Application/Control Number: 10/071,797

Art Unit: 3623

al and Lemmons are concerned methods of casting votes, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a broadcast program in McClure et al, as seen in Lemmons, as an additional efficient mechanism to capture votes, thus making the system more flexible and robust.

As per claim 40, McClure et al disclose wherein the interactive voting application is encoded within a vertical blanking interval of the broadcast signal (i.e., encryption, column 37, lines 26-27).

As per claim 41, McClure et al disclose the interactive voting application is digitally encoded within the broadcast signal (i.e., executable code stored, column 37, lines 26-29).

### **Conclusion**

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - -Neff et al (US 2002/0078358) disclose a facility for conducting an election.
  - -Openshaw, II et al (US 2002/0107724) disclose a mobile voting precinct tabulating computer terminal.
  - -Hoang (US 2002/0066100) disclose retrieving data files broadcast repetitively over a time interval.
    - -Geller (US 2002/0091564) discloses a child adoption proceeding.
    - -Joao (US 2002/0108125) discloses facilitating viewer and listener interaction.

Art Unit: 3623

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre Boyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-6726. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

adb April 28, 2006 OS AME BYCE PATONI EXAMAGE A.U. 3623